

Report by: Trysor

For: Good Life Incorporated, 2, St James Street, Narberth, Pembrokeshire, SA67 7DB

September 2013



By

Jenny Hall, MIfA & Paul Sambrook, MIfA Trysor

Trysor Project No. 2013/316

For: Good Life Incorporated,
2, St James Street,
Narberth,
Pembrokeshire,
SA67 7DB

September 2013

38, New Road
Gwaun-cae-Gurwen
Ammanford
Carmarthenshire
SA18 1UN
www.trysor.net
enquiries@trysor.net





RHIF YR ADRODDIAD - REPORT NUMBER: Trysor 2013/316

DYDDIAD 23^{ain} Medi 2013 **DATE** 23rd September 2013

Paratowyd yr adroddiad hwn gan bartneriad Trysor. Mae wedi ei gael yn gywir ac yn derbyn ein sêl bendith.

This report was prepared by the Trysor partners. It has been checked and received our approval.

JENNY HALL MIFA Jenny Hall

PAUL SAMBROOK MIFA Paul Sambrook

DYDDIAD

DATE 23/09/2013

Croesawn unrhyw sylwadau ar gynnwys neu strwythur yr adroddiad hwn.

We welcome any comments on the content or structure of this report.

38, New Road, Gwaun-cae-Gurwen Ammanford Carmarthenshire SA18 1UN 01269 826397 Treclyn Eglywswrw Crymych Pembrokeshire SA41 3SU 01239 891470

www.trysor.net

enquiries@trysor.net

Trysor is a Registered Organisation with the Institute for Archaeologists and both partners are Members of the Institute for Archaeologists, www.archaeologists.net .

Jenny Hall (BSc Joint Hons., Geology and Archaeology, MIfA) had 12 years excavation experience, which included undertaking watching briefs prior to becoming the Sites and Monuments Record Manager for a Welsh Archaeological Trust for 10 years. She has been an independent archaeologist since 2004 undertaking a variety of work that includes upland survey, desk-based appraisals and assessments, and watching briefs.

Paul Sambrook (BA Joint Hons., Archaeology and Welsh, MIfA, PGCE) has extensive experience as a fieldworker in Wales. He was involved with Cadw's pan-Wales Deserted Rural Settlements Project for 7 years. He also undertook Tir Gofal field survey work and watching briefs. He has been an independent archaeologist since 2004 undertaking a variety of work including upland survey, desk-based appraisals/assessments, and watching briefs.

Contents

1. Summary	1
2. Copyright	1
3. Introduction	1
4. The Proposed Development	2
5. Methodology	3
6. Parsonage Farm: Historical Overview	6
7. Parsonage Farm: Proposed Turbine Site	7
2. Copyright 3. Introduction 4. The Proposed Development 5. Methodology 6. Parsonage Farm: Historical Overview 7. Parsonage Farm: Proposed Turbine Site 8. Impact Assessment 9. Historic Landscape Aspects Relating to the Parsonage Farm Proposed Turbine 10. Conclusion 11. Reporting 12. References Appendix A: Parsonage Farm Impacts Appendix B: Descriptions of Newly Recorded Sites Appendix C: Site Appraisals within the Revised Study Area	7
	10
Copyright Introduction The Proposed Development Methodology Parsonage Farm: Historical Overview Parsonage Farm: Proposed Turbine Site Impact Assessment Historic Landscape Aspects Relating to the Parsonage Farm Proposed Turbine Conclusion Reporting References pendix A: Parsonage Farm Impacts pendix B: Descriptions of Newly Recorded Sites	12
11. Reporting	12
12. References	13
Appendix A: Parsonage Farm Impacts	14
Appendix B: Descriptions of Newly Recorded Sites	19
Appendix C: Site Appraisals within the Revised Study Area	21



1. Summary

- 1.1 This historic environment appraisal has been undertaken by Trysor to examine likely impacts on the historic environment from a proposed wind turbine at Parsonage Farm, Amroth, Pembrokeshire.
- 1.2 The appraisal has looked at all known historic assets within an area measuring 2km in radius, focused on a central point at the proposed turbine site at SN1663709083, consulting the regional Historic Environment Record and the National Monuments Record, as well as examining historic maps. A field visit was also undertaken to examine the location of the turbine and record previously unknown historic assets.
- 1.3 The appraisal area was revised after the field visit to exclude areas where there could be no intervisibility or impact on setting.
- 1.4 The appraisal shows that there is no evidence of buried archaeological features at the proposed development site.
- 1.5 The Scheduled Ancient Monument and Listed Building within the revised appraisal area would not be significantly affected by the development.
- 1.6 The appraisal shows that no direct, physical impact or significant indirect, visual impact would be caused to the historic environment at the turbine site
- 1.7 On the basis of this appraisal, no archaeological mitigation is thought necessary for the proposed development.

2. Copyright

2.1 Trysor holds the copyright of this report. Further copies may be made of this report without gaining permission to reproduce but it must be noted that Figures 3 and 5 include other copyrighted material and should not be copied.

3. Introduction

- 3.1 Good Life Incorporated, 2, St James Street, Narberth, Pembrokeshire, SA67 7DB commissioned Trysor heritage consultants to write an Historic Environment Appraisal as part documentation for a planning application for a single wind turbine at Parsonage Farm, Amroth.
- 3.2 Dyfed Archaeological Trust Heritage Management section supplied a generic brief for such appraisals (DAT HM, Undated). These appraisals are intended to be a rapid appraisal of the readily available historic environment information including a site visit. The purpose of the appraisal is to inform DAT HM as to whether any further assessment or evaluation would be required as part of the planning process. Trysor prepared a specification based on the brief (Trysor, 2013)



4. The proposed development

- 4.1 It is proposed that a single turbine with a 20 m high tower and 23.5 m to the upright blade tip will be located in a single field parcel at SN1663709083 at Parsonage Farm, Amroth, Narberth, Pembrokeshire, SA67 8PR, see Figure 1. The field parcel lies within the Pembrokeshire Coast National Park
- 4.2 The turbine will require a foundation hole, approximately 5 metres square, and with a cable trench up to 1 metre deep running approximately east northeast for approximately140 metres to an existing farm building, via an existing gateway. Access will be via existing trackways and gates, and a temporary trackway will not be necessary.




Figure 1: Location of the proposed turbine, showing the 2km radius appraisal area.



5. Methodology

- 5.1 A study area of 2km radius circle centred on SN1663709083 was chosen for the initial appraisal of sites. This area was revised to exclude areas on the east and southern sides where there was no intervisibility or possibility of impact on setting of historic assets, see Figure 2. These areas either dipped down to the coast or lay within one of the incised valleys that characterise the district.
- 5.2 The HER enquiry for the 2km radius area yielded 122 records.
- 5.3 Within the 122 records, five had inaccurate NGRs and actually lay outside the revised study area, one was a duplicate, one was for Common Land and one for Church Land based on place-name evidence only, and these were all removed from the dataset. A further 93 records lay within the excluded area and were also removed. This left 21 HER records in the appraisal dataset. One of these was a Scheduled Ancient Monument and one was a Listed Building.
- 5.4 A site visit was made to Parsonage Farm and the surrounding area on August 20th, 2013 to rapidly appraise if there were any visible archaeological features within the area directly affected by the turbine proposal and also the wider landscape context of the proposed development. The field was under pasture at the time.
- 5.5 The aerial photographs on Google Earth, dating to 2006 and 2009, were used to inform the appraisal.
- 5.6 Historic mapping was consulted. The maps used included 19th and 20th century 1:2500 scale Ordnance Survey mapping and the Amroth parish tithe map and accompanying tithe schedule (dated to 1845).
- 5.7 All information gathered during the desktop appraisal and fieldwork was entered into a bespoke database in Access 2003 format to create an appraisal dataset. The dataset is the source of the material output in this report, including the GIS mapping which illustrates the location of sites in the area, and the tables and appendices which provide detailed information on the sites within the study area.
- 5.8 At the end of a rapid appraisal of the data, the information from the site visit and historic map search, the appraisal dataset contained 22 records. As stated in 5.3, one hundred and one HER records were discarded as they were for a record that lay outside the area, a deleted record or a duplicate record or within the excluded area, whilst one new record was created as a result of the desktop work and field survey undertaken by Trysor.
 - 5.8.1 The National Monuments Record was consulted and compared with the HER. No records were added from this source to the appraisal dataset.
 - 5.8.2 A new record was created in the project database by Trysor for the farmstead at Parsonage Farm (ID number 22).
- 5.9 Each of the records in the final appraisal dataset was assessed for Period, Rarity, Documentation, Group Value¹, as well as Evidential Value, Historical Value, Aesthetic

¹ Period, Rarity, Documentation and Group Value are criteria defined in the Welsh Office Circular 60/96, 1996.



Value, Communal Value². Once these had been considered the significance of each site was determined and scored in accordance with the categories adopted by the Welsh Archaeological Trusts i.e. Nationally Important, Regionally Important, Locally Important, Minor and Features Needing Further Investigation (Unknown), see Figure 2. Full details of this exercise are given in Appendix C.

5.10 As this exercise is an appraisal of the study area, not a full desk-based historic environment assessment, no site descriptions have been included in the appraisal dataset or this printed report, apart from newly recorded features (See Appendix B).




Figure 2: Showing the area excluded from the appraisal area as there was no intervisibility between the areas.

² Evidential Value, Historical Value, Aesthetic Value and Communal Value are criteria defined in Cadw's Conservation Principles publication, 2011.




Figure 3: Sites showing significance, labelled with Project ID number



6. Parsonage Farm: Historical overview

- 6.1 The farmstead is shown on the 1809 Tenby sheet of Ordnance Survey Original Surveyors Drawing and the 18191 1" to 1 mile scale Ordnance Survey map
- 6.2 On tithe survey in 1845, the farmhouse and fields at Parsonage Farm are shown very much as there are today. The farm was recorded as being owned by the Reverend William Harries and occupied by George Davies (see Figure 4). Field parcel 278, in which the turbine will stand, was larger and included the parcel to the east towards the farmhouse which on the 1890 map, and later, is shown as separate field parcel.
- 6.3 The 1890 1:2500 Ordnance Survey map shows the farm buildings clearly for the first time. The farmhouse was in its present position, some 200 metres east northeast of the proposed turbine, with several outbuildings to its east and south. These buildings seem to be still in lace today, with the addition of some more recent buildings, in particular a large shed to the west of the farmhouse.
- 6.4 The field system shown to the west of the farmhouse on 19th century maps, including the field in which the proposed turbine would stand has undergone few changes during the past century. One boundary may have been added in between the farmhouse and where the proposed turbine would stand.
- 6.5 The farm was occupied by the Davies family from the 1840s until at least 1911.




Figure 4: Parsonage farm on the 1845 Amroth parish tithe map



7. Parsonage farm: Proposed turbine site

- 7.1 The proposed turbine at Parsonage Farm is to be located at SN23933147 in a pasture field, 150 metres to the west southwest of the farm buildings at Parsonage Farm. The proposed cable trench will run back towards the farm buildings via the field gateway where it will connect to an existing electricity supply. The existing access track will be used.
- 7.2 When the farm and its environs were visited in August 2013, an assessment was made of the intervisibility of key monuments in the local landscape with the proposed turbine site. This assessment was undertaken on a dry day and in reasonable light.

8. Impact Assessment

- 8.1 Within the revised area a total of 22 sites were recorded in the appraisal database.
- 8.2 The Direct and Indirect impact on each site was assessed taking into account both physical and non-physical impacts. Each impact was assessed within the scale Very Low, Low, Moderate, High and Very High, taken into account the significance of the site and the nature of the impact. A full table is found in Appendix A but a summary is tabulated in Table 1 below and illustrated in Figure 4.
- 8.3 As Table 1 and Appendix A show, no recorded historic assets within the area of the appraisal were determined to be exposed to any significant impact from the proposed turbine development. (Details of the appraisal for direct and indirect impact for each of the sites in Table 1 can be found in Appendix A).
- 8.4 An examination of the proposed development site identified no evidence of surface material of archaeological interest. The land was under pasture with very little exposure of the underlying soil surface.
- 8.5 An existing access track would be used and there will be no impact on any historic assets.
- 8.6 The cable trench will run northeast towards the farm buildings, through the existing gateway and along the track.



Table 1: Impact on sites within the appraisal area around Parsonage Farm proposed turbine site

ID number	Site Name	Site Type	Level of Impact
8	ZOAR BAPTIST CHAPEL	CHAPEL	Low
10	GREAT GRIGGS	COTTAGE	Low
6	KILLANOW	CULTIVATION	Very Low
		TERRACE	
15	LLANTEGLOS	SETTLEMENT	Very Low
22	PARSONAGE FARM	FARMSTEAD	Very Low
1	LONGSTONE CAMP; BRANDYWELL	DEFENDED	None
	CAMP; PARROT'S WALLS	ENCLOSURE	
2	LLANTEG ENCLOSURE	DEFENDED	None
		ENCLOSURE	
3	CRUGVORION;GRAIG-Y-BORION	SETTLEMENT	None
4	TRESSYLYN;TRELLESSY	SETTLEMENT	None
5	ST TEILO'S CHAPEL	CHAPEL	None
7	FOLLY	FOLLY?	None
9	LLANTEG CONGREGATIONAL	CHAPEL	None
	CHAPEL		
11	GREENACRE	COTTAGE	None
12	WATER GOCH	COTTAGE	None
13	KILLANOW FARM	FARMSTEAD	None
14	GELLI-HALOG	DWELLING	None
16	CRAIG-Y-BORION	BUILDING	None
17	CRAIG-Y-BORION	BUILDING	None
18	CRAIG-Y-BORION	BARN	None
19	CRAIG-Y-BORION	ORCHARD	None
20	LLANTEG	SCHOOL	None
21	FARTHING'S END	BUILDING	None




Figure 5: Sites showing impact, labelled with Project ID number



9. Historic Landscape Aspects Relating to the Parsonage Farm Proposed Turbine

9.1 The following aspects of the historic environment around the Parsonage Farm proposed turbine site have also been considered by this appraisal, as required by the brief supplied by the Dyfed Archaeological Trust Heritage Management Section (DAT, HM, Undated);

a) Scheduled Ancient Monuments in their settings

There is one Scheduled Ancient Monument within the revised appraisal area. Longstone Camp Defended Enclosure (ID number 1) lies 1.8 kilometres to the northwest of the proposed turbine location and would not be intervisible with the proposed turbine, as it is surrounded by scrub growth and mature trees which block the view.

b) Previously Recorded Non-scheduled Ancient Monuments in their settings

A small number of historic assets in the revised appraisal area are thought likely to experience a low or very low indirect, visual impact. This is due to their being intervisible with the proposed turbine over distance, but in no case would their setting affected by the development. These sites are a cultivation terrace at Killanow (ID number 6), the settlement of Llanteglos (ID number 15) and Parsonage Farm farmstead (ID number 22)

Potential impacts on Non-scheduled Ancient Monuments are included in Appendix A. The significance of Non-scheduled Ancient Monuments is addressed in Appendix C.

c) Newly identified sites of historic importance

One newly recorded sites of historic importance was noted for this appraisal at or near the turbine site. This was the farmstead at Parsonage Farm (ID number 22).

The detail of this site is included in Appendices A, B & C.

d) Listed Buildings in their settings

There is one Listed Building within the revised appraisal area. It is Zoar Baptist chapel (ID number 8) which lies 700 metres to the northeast of the proposed turbine location. The top of the proposed turbine is likely to be visible but would not have a significant effect on the setting of the chapel, which has been converted for other uses and the impact is assessed as Low.

e) Non-statutory buildings of local importance


No non-statutory buildings of local importance included on a local list were identified as being affected by the proposed development. One building of local interest is thought likely to experience low indirect visual impact as a result of the development. This is a cottage called Great Griggs (ID number 10) but the setting of this building will not be affected.

f) Registered Parks & Gardens and their essential settings.

There are no Registered Parks and Gardens within the revised study area. Colby Lodge, PGW (Dy) 29(PEM), lies within the area excluded as there is no intervisibility between the proposed turbine location and the historic garden.

g) Registered Historic Landscapes

The development site does not lie in a Registered Historic Landscape.





h) Non-registered Historic Landscapes

There are no identified non-registered Historic Landscapes within the revised study area.

i) LANDMAP and landscape characterisation information

The proposed turbine would stand in the Amroth LANDMAP Historic Landscape Aspect Area (PMBRKHL46162). This large aspect area follows the incised plateau inland from the coast. It has a High overall evaluation. Most of the fields are medium-sized and regular although there are all sorts represented. Deciduous woodland lies mainly in the steep sided, incised valleys with some plantations of mixed woodland elsewhere. Patches of moorland still exists on the higher ground. Dispersed settlement is typical with some nucleations, which are largely post-medieval, developing from the local coal industry.

The proposed development would not impact on the essential character of the area.#

j) Conservation Area

There are no Conservation Areas within the revised study area.

k) Tir Gofal interests or requirements

No Tir Gofal interests were identified.

1) Buried archaeological potential

There is also no surface, map, aerial photographic or documentary evidence suggestive of significant buried archaeology at the proposed development site.

m) Palaeoenvironmental potential

No palaeoenvironmental potential can be identified at the Parsonage Farm turbine site. It is situated in a pasture field which is well-drained.

n) Hedgerows and field patterns

The proposed development would be located in a pasture field, which seems to have become enclosed in its present from at least the mid 19th century. The proposed development will not change the character of the field system or have a significant effect on any field boundary banks.

o) Ancient woodland

Parts of four areas of Ancient and Semi-natural Woodland lie within the revised appraisal area and one Plantation on Ancient Woodland Site. These are all woodlands on valley sides and will not be affected by the proposed turbine location.

p) Place-names

There are no significant place-names in close association with the proposed development site.

q) Cumulative impact

No turbines were visible from the proposed turbine location.

m) National Park

The proposed turbine location does lie within the Pembrokeshire Coast National Park. Its location is sheltered from most of the coastline and the coastal slopes by topography and trees as shown in Figure 5.



10. Conclusion

- 10.1 There would not be a significant impact on the historic environment or on individual historic assets in relation to the proposed development of the proposed wind turbine at Parsonage Farm, Amroth, Pembrokeshire.
- 10.2 There is no evidence to indicate the possibility of buried archaeology at the turbine site.
- 10.3 No known historic asset would be exposed to a direct physical impact.
- 10.4 Two historic assets, including Listed Building, Zoar chapel (ID number 8) would be exposed to a Low indirect, visual impact. There would be some level of intervisibility over a distance but it would not affect the setting of the historic assets. Three historic assets would be exposed to a Very Low indirect, visual impact, again which would not affect their setting.
- 10.5 On the basis of this appraisal, no archaeological mitigation is thought necessary.

11. Reporting

11.1 Copies of this report will be provided to the client and the Regional Historic Environment Record.



12. References

12.1 Map sources

Ordnance Survey, 1809, 2" to 1 mile Original Surveyors drawing, Tenby Sheet Ordnance Survey, 1889-90, 1:2500, 1st edition Ordnance Survey, 1907, 1:2500 2nd edition

Amroth parish tithe map and schedule, 1845

12.2 Web-based materials

English Heritage, 2011, The Setting of Heritage Assets

RCAHMW, Historic Wales Portal

12.3 Published sources

Cadw, 2011, Conservation Principles for the sustainable management of the historic environment of Wales,

Cadw & CCW, 2007, Guide to the Good Practice on using the Register of Landscapes of Historic Interest in Wales in the planning and development process.

Welsh Office, 1996, Planning and the Historic Environment: Archaeology Welsh Office Circular 60/96

12.4 Unpublished sources

Dyfed Archaeological Trust, Historic Environment Record

DAT HM, Undated, Generic Brief for the preparation of an historic environment appraisal

Trysor, 2013, Specification for an Historic Environment Appraisal at Parsonage Farm, Amroth, Pembrokeshire

Jenny Hall & Paul Sambrook Trysor, September 2013



Appendix A: Parsonage Farm Impacts



ID number: 1 LONGSTONE CAMP; DEFENDED ENCLOSURE

> **BRANDYWELL CAMP:** PARROT'S WALLS Is there a Direct Impact:

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

This enclosure would not be intervisible with Overall Impact: None

> the proposed turbine, 1.8km to the southeast, as it is surrounded by scrub growth and mature trees which block the view to the southeast.

ID number: LLANTEG ENCLOSURE **DEFENDED ENCLOSURE**

> Is there a Direct Impact: Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None Only a small portion of the enclosure bank now

> survives and the view from this towards the proposed turbine would be blocked by the house and buildings of Blackheath.

SETTLEMENT ID number: 3 **CRUGVORION:**

> **GRAIG-Y-BO RION** Is there a Direct Impact: Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None There are no recorded archaeological features

> associated with this settlement, mentioned in early sources but as yet unlocated in the field.

4 ID number: TRESSYLYN:TRELLESSY **SETTLEMENT**

> Is there a Direct Impact: Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None There are no recorded archaeological features

> associated with this settlement, mentioned in early sources but as yet unlocated in the field.

ID number: 5 ST TEILO'S CHAPEL **CHAPEL**

Is there a Direct Impact:

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None There are no recorded archaeological features

associated with this chapel, mentioned in early

sources but as yet unlocated in the field.

CULTIVATION TERRACE ID number: **KILLANOW**

> Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: Yes Possible intervisibility

Overall Impact: The top of the proposed turbine, some 1.8km to Very Low

> the east-northeast, may be visible from here but it would have no impact on the setting of the

features recorded here.



ID number: **7** FOLLY FOLLY?

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None Only a place-name record for a Folly, no site

identified.

ID number: 8 ZOAR BAPTIST CHAPEL CHAPEL

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: Yes Intervisible

Overall Impact: Low The top of the proposed turbine is likely to be

visible some 700 metres to the southwest, but would not have a significant effect on the setting of the chapel, which has been converted

for other uses.

ID number: 9 LLANTEG CHAPEL

CONGREGATIONAL

CHAPEL

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This former chapel would not be intervisible

with the proposed turbine as buildings and trees

block the view towards the southwest.

ID number: 10 GREAT GRIGGS COTTAGE

Is there a Direct Impact: No

The state of the s

Is there an Indirect Impact: Yes Intervisible

Overall Impact: Low This cottage would be intervisible with the

proposed turbine, c.675 metres to the

south-southwest.

ID number: 11 GREENACRE COTTAGE

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None The cottage has fallen into complete ruin and is

now hidden in a small parcel of mature trees which block view from the site towards the

proposed development.

ID number: 12 WATER GOCH COTTAGE

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None It is unlikely that the turbine would be visible

from here as a caravan park and a number of trees lie between the two sites and appear to

block the view.



_____ ID number: 13 KILLANOW FARM **FARMSTEAD** Is there a Direct Impact: Is there an Indirect Impact: No Overall Impact: None It is unlikely that the proposed turbine would be visible from Killanow, as mature trees block the view to the east-north east and the farmhouse faces the south-southeast. _____ ID number: 14 **GELLI-HALOG DWELLING** Is there a Direct Impact: No Is there an Indirect Impact: No Overall Impact: None This derelict dwelling would not be intervisible with the proposed turbine as a mature woodland blocks the view to the southeast. **SETTLEMENT** ID number: 15 **LLANTEGLOS** Is there a Direct Impact: No Is there an Indirect Impact: Yes Possible intervisibility Overall Impact: Very Low The proposed turbine, which would about 1km to the southwest, may be visible from some parts of the village but it would have no impact on the setting of the settlement. ______ ID number: 16 **CRAIG-Y-BORION BUILDING** Is there a Direct Impact: Is there an Indirect Impact: No Overall Impact: None This building would not be intervisible with the proposed turbine. ______ ID number: **CRAIG-Y-BORION BUILDING 17** Is there a Direct Impact: Is there an Indirect Impact: No Overall Impact: None This building would not be intervisible with the proposed turbine. ID number: 18 **CRAIG-Y-BORION** BARN Is there a Direct Impact: No Is there an Indirect Impact: No Overall Impact: This building would not be intervisible with the None proposed turbine.



ID number: 19 CRAIG-Y-BORION ORCHARD

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This orchard would not be intervisible with the

proposed turbine.

ID number: 20 LLANTEG SCHOOL

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This former school would not be intervisible

with the proposed turbine as higher ground

intervenes.

ID number: 21 FARTHING'S END BUILDING

Is there a Direct Impact: No
Is there an Indirect Impact: No

Overall Impact: None This site is now hidden in a parcel of deciduous

woodland and would not be intervisible with the

proposed turbine.

ID number: 22 PARSONAGE FARM FARMSTEAD

Is there a Direct Impact: No

Is there an Indirect Impact: Yes The turbine will be within the field to the west

of the buildings of the farmstead and will be partially intervisible with parts of the complex

Overall Impact: Very Low The turbine will be within the field to the west of the buildings of the farmstead and will be

partially intervisible from parts of the complex



Appendix B: Written Descriptions Of Newly Recorded Archaeological Sites



ID number: 22 PARSONAGE FARM FARMSTEAD

HER PRN: NMR NPRN: 0

NGR: SN1684009113 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Broadclass: AGRICULTURE AND SUBSISTEN

Period: Post Medieval

Form: Complex Condition: Largely Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: 0 grade:

Description: The farmstead at Parsonage is shown on the 1809 Tenby sheet of Ordnance Survey

Original Surveyors Drawing and the 18191 1" to 1 mile scale Ordnance Survey map but

not named.

On tithe survey in 1845, the farmhouse and fields at Parsonage Farm are shown very much as there are today. The farm was recorded as being owned by the Reverend William Harries and occupied by George Davies (see Figure 3).

The 1890 1:2500 Ordnance Survey map shows the farm buildings clearly for the first time. The farmhouse was in its present position, with several outbuildings to its east and south. These buildings seem to be still in place today, with the addition of some more recent buildings, in particular a large shed to the west of the farmhouse.

The field system shown to the west of the farmhouse on 19th century maps, including the field in which the proposed turbine would stand has undergone few changes during the past century.

According to the census data George Davies (35), a farmer, and his wife Anne (27) were living at Parsonage in 1841. They had four children William (4) James (2) and Humphrey (21 months). Anne Pridey (15) lived with them as a servant.

On the 1851 census the property was mistakenly called Vicarage but George and Ann still lived there, now with 7 children William (14), James (12), Humphrey (10), Robert (7), George (4), Elizabeth (2) and Ann (1 month)

The farm was defined as being 37 acres in 1861, and George (56) and Ann (49) still had a large family at home, James (22), Humphrey (20), George (14), Elizabeth (12), Ann (10) and Rachel (7).

By 1871, George (66) was a widower. He farmed 40 acres with James (29) and George (22) with Ann (19) as housekeeper and Rachel (16) still at home. George was still in charge farming 45 acres in 1881 with James (41) and George (32), both unmarried with a niece aged 12 and Mary Morris (49), a dressmaker

A change of generation had happened by 1891 when George's son George (44) now was head of the farm with his wife Sarah (44) and their daughter Elizabeth (4) and a farm servant William Henry (16)

The farm was referred to as Amroth Parsonage on the 1901 census, still farmed by George (54) and Sarah (53) with their daughter Elizabeth (14). They were stil there in 1911 with Elizabeth working as a farmer's daughter doing diary work. There was also a boarder, a retired bricklayer called Thomas James (66)

Rarity: Common

Reference:

Documentation:

Group Value: Historic farmstead complex

Evidential Value: Standing complex

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None



Appendix C:

Site Appraisals Within Study Area ID number: **LONGSTONE DEFENDED** 1

CAMP;BRANDYWELL **ENCLOSURE**

CAMP:PARROT'S WALLS

NMR NPRN: **HER PRN:** 3653

NGR: SN14980995 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Iron Age Broadclass: **DEFENCE; DOMESTIC**

Form: Earthwork Condition: Damaged

Scheduled Ancient Site Status: SAM number: PE179 LB number: grade:

Monument

Rarity: Not rare

Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Denuded earthworks Evidential Value:

Historical Value: Mentioned in archaeological sources

Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None

Significance: Nationally Important

2 **DEFENDED** ID number: LLANTEG ENCLOSURE **ENCLOSURE**

HER PRN: NMR NPRN: 3805

NGR: SN17421001 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Iron Age Broadclass: **DEFENCE; DOMESTIC**

Form: Documents Condition: Damaged

SAM number: Site Status: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not rare

Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Badly damaged earthwork

Historical Value: Mentioned in historical sources

Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None

Significance: Regionally Important ID number: 3 CRUGVORION;GRAIG-Y-BORIO SETTLEMENT

N

HER PRN: 10797 *NMR NPRN: NGR:* SN15580948 Unknown

Period: Medieval Broadclass: DOMESTIC

Form: Condition:

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not rare
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Documentary

Historical Value: Shown on William Rees' 1932 "Map of South Wales and the Borders in the 14th

century"

Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

Significance: Unknown

ID number: 4 TRESSYLYN;TRELLESSY SETTLEMENT

HER PRN: 10798 *NMR NPRN: NGR:* SN17540838 Unknown

Period: Medieval Broadclass: DOMESTIC

Form: Documents Condition:

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not rare
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Documentary

Historical Value: Shown on William Rees' 1932 "Map of South Wales and the Borders in the 14th

century"

Aesthetic Value: None Communal Value: None

Significance: Unknown

ID number: 5 ST TEILO'S CHAPEL CHAPEL

HER PRN: 12582 *NMR NPRN: NGR:* SN1709 Unknown

Period: Medieval Broadclass: RELIGIOUS RITUAL AND FUNERAR'

Form: Documents Condition:

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not common Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: Unknown
Evidential Value: Documentary

Historical Value: Shown on William Rees' 1932 "Map of South Wales and the Borders in the 14th

century"

Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

Significance: Unknown

ID number: 6 KILLANOW CULTIVATION TERRACE

HER PRN: 14275 **NMR NPRN:**

NGR: SN14990835 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Unknown Broadclass: AGRICULTURE AND SUBSISTENCE

Form: Earthwork Condition: Damaged

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not rare
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Earthwork

Historical Value: None

Aesthetic Value: None

Communal Value: None

Significance: Minor Importance

ID number: 7 FOLLY FOLLY?

HER PRN: 18775 **NMR NPRN:**

NGR: SN16950995 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: GARDENS PARKS AND URBAN SPAC

Form: Place-name Condition:

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not rare

Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Place name evidence only

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

Significance: Minor Importance

ID number: 8 ZOAR BAPTIST CHAPEL CHAPEL

HER PRN: 18778 **NMR NPRN:**

NGR: SN17120962 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: RELIGIOUS RITUAL AND FUNERAR'

Form: Building Condition: Converted

Site Status: Listed Building SAM number: LB number: 18860 grade: II

Rarity: Not rare
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Standing building

Historical Value: None Aesthetic Value: None

Communal Value: Former place of worship and a burial ground

Significance: Nationally Important

ID number: 9 LLANTEG CONGREGATIONAL CHAPEL CHAPEL

HER PRN: 19163 **NMR NPRN:** 10992

NGR: SN17561005 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: RELIGIOUS RITUAL AND FUNERAR'

Form: Building Condition: Demolished

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Building demolished and site maintained as memorial garden

Historical Value: None Aesthetic Value: None

Communal Value: Former place of worship and a burial ground

Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 10 GREAT GRIGGS COTTAGE

HER PRN: 23510 **NMR NPRN:**

NGR: SN16980969 Grid reference taken at centre of site
 Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: DOMESTIC
 Form: Building Condition: Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Standing building

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

ID number: 11 GREENACRE COTTAGE

HER PRN: 23511 **NMR NPRN:**

NGR: SN1717109542 Grid reference taken at centre of site
 Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: DOMESTIC
 Form: Building Condition: Destroyed

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Ruined building

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 12 WATER GOCH COTTAGE

HER PRN: 23512 **NMR NPRN:**

NGR: SN16960915 Grid reference taken at centre of site
 Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: DOMESTIC
 Form: Building Condition: Restored

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Standing building

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

ID number: 13 KILLANOW FARM FARMSTEAD

HER PRN: 25121 NMR NPRN:

NGR: SN15050855 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: AGRICULTURE AND SUBSISTENCE

Form: Building Condition: Restored

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: Part of historic farmstead complex

Evidential Value: Standing building

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 14 GELLI-HALOG DWELLING

HER PRN: 25452 **NMR NPRN:**

NGR: SN15921080 Grid reference taken at centre of site
 Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: DOMESTIC
 Form: Building Condition: Damaged

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Standing building

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

ID number: 15 LLANTEGLOS SETTLEMENT

HER PRN: 27050 **NMR NPRN:**

NGR: SN1769009630 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: DOMESTIC

Form: Complex Condition: Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Village settlement

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 16 CRAIG-Y-BORION BUILDING

HER PRN: 39321 **NMR NPRN:**

NGR: SN15640941 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: AGRICULTURE AND SUBSISTENCE

Form: Building Condition: Near Destroyed

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not rare
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: Part of historic farmstead complex

Evidential Value: Standing building

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

ID number: 17 CRAIG-Y-BORION BUILDING

HER PRN: 39322 **NMR NPRN:**

NGR: SN15640941 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: AGRICULTURE AND SUBSISTENCE

Form: Building Condition: Near Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not rare
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: Part of historic farmstead complex

Evidential Value: Standing building

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 18 CRAIG-Y-BORION BARN

HER PRN: 39323 **NMR NPRN:**

NGR: SN15640941 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: AGRICULTURE AND SUBSISTENCE

Form: Building Condition: Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not rare
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: Part of historic farmstead complex

Evidential Value: Standing building

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

ID number: 19 CRAIG-Y-BORION ORCHARD

HER PRN: 39324 **NMR NPRN:**

NGR: SN15640941 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: AGRICULTURE AND SUBSISTENCE

Form: Other Structure Condition: Near Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Not rare
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: Part of historic farmstead complex

Evidential Value: Extant in 2000

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 20 LLANTEG SCHOOL

HER PRN: 48897 *NMR NPRN:*

NGR: SN1814809978 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period:Post MedievalBroadclass:EDUCATIONForm:BuildingCondition:Converted

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Standing building

Historical Value: None Aesthetic Value: None

Communal Value: Former school

ID number: 21 FARTHING'S END BUILDING

HER PRN: 103436 **NMR NPRN:**

NGR: SN15091010 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period:Post MedievalBroadclass:UNASSIGNEDForm:DocumentsCondition:Not known

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: grade:

Rarity: Common
Reference: Regional HER

Documentation:

Group Value: None

Evidential Value: Historic mapping

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None

Significance: Locally Important

ID number: 22 PARSONAGE FARM FARMSTEAD

HER PRN: NMR NPRN: 0

NGR: SN1684009113 Grid reference taken at centre of site

Period: Post Medieval Broadclass: AGRICULTURE AND SUBSISTEN

Form: Complex Condition: Largely Intact

Site Status: SAM number: LB number: 0 grade:

Rarity: Common

Reference:

Documentation:

Group Value: Historic farmstead complex

Evidential Value: Satbding complex

Historical Value: None
Aesthetic Value: None
Communal Value: None